

Mitigating Circumstances and Extensions Policy (Awarding Body – University of West London)

Version 6

Approved by the Board of Governors

Last Amendment: September 2023

The following sets out how the School's policy and procedures for considering extensions and mitigating circumstances that have affected a student's performance in a summative assessment orprevented them from undertaking it.

NB: Procedures vary depending on the awarding body for the programme sought. Please read the following carefully to ensure the correct procedures are applied.

This policy has been reviewed and developed in line with *England's Regulatory Framework for Higher Education*. Due regard is also given to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator's *Good Practice Framework*.



Document Information

Document owner(s)*: Head of Registry

Date of next review: September 2024

Document Status: IN USE

Dissemination: For general publication

*The document owner is responsible for maintaining and updating the content of this document and ensuring that it reflects current practice at the School.

Contents

1.	Definition	2
2.	'Fit to Sit' Policy	3
3.	Scope	4
4.	Principles	4
5.	Mitigating Circumstance Procedure	5
	Review of Rejected Claims	
7.	Further Considerations	7
8.	Review and Update	7
	ix: Table of Acceptable Mitigating Circumstances and Evidence Requirements	



1. Definition

- 1.1. The School defines 'mitigating circumstances' as being any circumstances which were not within the foresight and control of the student and which the School believes might adversely affect the academic performance of a student during the assessment period for which they are claiming.
- 1.2. Mitigating circumstances may include, but are not limited to:
 - a short-term severe illness or injury
 - onset of a serious medical condition
 - family or care emergency
 - bereavement
 - trauma
 - being a victim of a serious crime
 - a requirement to fulfil a public duty (such as undertaking jury service or assisting with a policy enquiry)
- **1.3. Mitigation:** Allows a student a further resit without penalty if they fail an assessment or do not submit. Mitigation postpones the submission to the next assessment deadline.

1.4. Self-certification:

- 1.4.1. A student is to apply for an extension or mitigation by self-certifying that they have circumstances which affect their ability to submit at the given deadline.
- 1.4.2. Self-certifying means evidence does not need to be provided, though the University reserves the right to request this where it is considered that the request is not genuine.
- 1.4.3. A total of 3 self-certifications can be made per academic year.
- 1.4.4. A self-certification request can be made for either an Extension or Mitigation.
- **1.5. Extensions:** An extension allows a student to more time to complete a coursework assignment.
- 1.5.1 Where students cannot submit on time and have good reason for an extension to a deadline submission, they may self-certify an extension up to three occasions per academic year. This is providing they have not used these opportunities by self-certifying for mitigation.
- 1.5.2 An application for an extension to be considered by Registry must be made prior to the original submission deadline.



- 1.5.3 An extension if approved allows a student to submit up to 10 calendar days after the original deadline with an uncapped mark.
- 1.5.4 Where a student chooses to request for an extension with supporting evidence to be considered by the Registry Department, this must be made prior to the original submission deadline. If granted a student will have up to 10 calendar days to submit and will be graded with an uncapped mark.
- 1.5.5 Work submitted late up to 10 calendar days from the original deadline without an extension approved, it will be marked and the assessment mark will be capped at the pass mark.
- 1.5.6 If the assessment is late by over 10 calendar days, the piece of work will be deemed a non-submission.
- 1.6. It is the School's aim to ensure that, as far as possible, such unforeseen circumstances do not affect students' grades or achievements.
- 1.7. Generally, this policy would not apply to the following:
 - i. Students affected by permanent or long-standing illnesses, disabilities or learning difficulties; this is because students will have been expected to have registered their impairment with the School and, where appropriate, will have an individual plan to support their studies in accordance with the <u>Reasonable Adjustments Policy</u>. However, the School may consider an acute episode or worsening of their condition which means that the reasonable adjustments in place are no longer sufficient, in which case this policy will be used.
 - ii. Students' absence or lateness from classes; separate procedures should be followed if students are late to lessons or unable to attend them for any reason; guidance will be given to students upon enrolment to the School.

2. 'Fit to Sit' Policy

- 2.1. Generally, by submitting coursework, sitting an examination, or participating in any other form of assessment (e.g. giving a presentation), a student is taken to be confirming that they are fit to submit/sit the assessment concerned and any mitigating circumstances claim in respect of that assessment will be deemed invalid unless:
 - i. there is clear evidence that the student was not in a fit state to decide whether they were able to submit/sit the assessment concerned, or



- ii. there is clear evidence that the student became ill during an exam, class-test, presentation etc. and was not in a fit state to continue, or
- iii. in the case of an examination or presentation, there was substantial disruption to the assessment environment that affected the student's ability to do their best work.
- 2.2. The School does not usually make concession under this policy for students affected by travel disruption or IT problems; however, some exceptional cases may be considered on a case-by-case basis.

The Appendix of this document gives examples of reasons that are generally considered as mitigating circumstances, reasons that may be considered and reasons that are not likely to be considered. It also provides examples of typical evidence that would need to be submitted to substantiate a claim for mitigating circumstances.

2.3. Regardless, of who is responsible for processing extensions and mitigating circumstances claims, all students are required to contact their on campus student support team or Registry (registry@lsst.ac) initially when claiming mitigating circumstances, and self-certifications to ensure the correct procedure is instigated.

3. Scope

- 3.1. LSST delivers learning opportunities under validation and franchise agreements with external awarding bodies, for example the University of West London. The procedures for considering and approving mitigating circumstances and extensions set out in this policy have been formulated in accordance with those of the University of West London.
- 3.2. Regardless, of who is responsible for processing mitigating circumstances claims, all students are required to contact the Registry Department (registry@lsst.ac) initially when claimingmitigating circumstances, to ensure the correct procedure is instigated.

4. Principles

- 4.1. The School will observe the following principles in handling all claims of mitigating circumstances:
 - i. The School will seek to preserve the integrity of assessments and ensure fairness to other candidates undertaking the same assessments.



- A Mitigating Circumstances Panel will consider each claim with consideration of genuine, verifiable evidence; its recommendations will be ratified by an appropriately constituted Assessment Board.
- iii. The School will be mindful when requesting evidence and only request what is needed to confirm the student's claim; all evidence provided, which may contain sensitive personal information, will be treated in the strictest confidence and in accordance with the School's *Data Protection Policy*.
- iv. The School will be vigilant to repeated issues, patterns or claims which indicate a need to provide a student with additional study support under another policy (such as reasonable adjustments) or would require an assessment of a student's ongoing fitness to study.
- v. No student will be penalised for having made a claim for mitigating circumstances, regardless of whether it is approved or rejected, provided that claim has been made in good faith.
- vi. Where a claim is rejected, students will be notified of their right to request a review of the decision through the School's Appeals Policy, and the grounds on which they may do so.

5. Mitigating Circumstance Procedure

- 5.1. Students who believe that they have been adversely affected by mitigating circumstances (as defined in 1.2.) should complete a Mitigating Circumstances Form as soon as the circumstances arise and before the relevant assessment deadline. The form can be found on LSST Connect or may be requested from student support at their campus or from Registry (registry@lsst.ac).
- 5.2. Where a student has self-certified for an extension, there is no opportunity to apply for self-certified mitigation for the same attempt at the assessment. A request for mitigation may still be made, but evidence will need to be provided.
- 5.3. When completing their Mitigating Circumstances application, students should ensure that all information is clearly provided and evidenced; failure to do so may result in the application being rejected.
- 5.4. Any student who is unable to submit a mitigating circumstance claim by the relevant deadline must, within 48 hours of that period, submit a written declaration of their intent to do so with either the Registry Department or Student Support, who may impose a time limit for the submission of the full claim. Any mitigating circumstances claim submitted outside of this time must include reasons and evidence showing why, through no fault on the part of the student, the request could not have been made within the time limit. If, in the opinion of the relevant officer, these reasons are not valid, the mitigating circumstances claim will be rejected summarily, and the student will be informed in writing



of the reasons. Any such decision will be made within four working days of receipt of the Mitigating Circumstances Form.

- 5.5. Students should attach appropriate evidence to support their mitigating circumstances claim (*See Appendix for a list of evidence requirements*). Students should note that any claim supported by false documentary evidence shall be deemed invalid and may result in the claimant being subject to disciplinary proceedings.
- 5.6. Students may also attach work they have carried out on their assignments (so far) in the form of drafts, notes or finished documents. This may help the Mitigating Circumstances Panel to determine whether the student could reasonably have been expected to complete the assignment at the required time and/or whether a higher grade could have been achieved.
- 5.7. On receipt of a timely claim for mitigating circumstances, the Mitigating Circumstances Panel will carefully consider the case along with the evidence provided and assignment work completed to date, and make one of the following decisions:
 - i. That the circumstances recorded did not materially affect the student's performance. Where claims are in respect to non-attendance at an examination or non-submission of an assignment, a mark of zero will be awarded in the component concerned. However, if the claim relates to a first assessment, a student shall have the opportunity to undertake reassessment in the component concerned, in accordance with normal assessment procedures.
 - ii. That the circumstances recorded did materially affect the student's performance, thus the student will be given the opportunity to be assessed at the next assessment point in the component(s) in question. This assessment shall replace the opportunity to which the mitigating circumstances claim pertained.
- 5.8. Any assignment not submitted by the deadline and not documented in a Mitigating Circumstances Form will be treated as a non-submission.
- 5.9. The Registry Office will be responsible for relaying to the students the decision taken by the Mitigating Circumstances Panel within 1 month of LSST receiving the Mitigating Circumstances claim.

6. Review of Rejected Claims

6.1. Students have the right to appeal the decision of the Mitigating Circumstances Panel through the School's <u>Appeals Policy and Procedure</u>, which sets out how official decisions made by the School that affect a student's academic standing may be challenged; this includes a student's right to appeal to the awarding body and the Office for Independent



Adjudicator (OIA), where it can be shown that the School has not followed these procedures correctly.

7. Further Considerations

- 7.1. Students should be aware that a Mitigating Circumstances request may cause delays with re-enrolment or progression. As such, students are advised to seek guidance from the Registry (registry@lsst.ac) or Student Support where in doubt.
- 7.2. The Mitigating Circumstances Panel will be considerate of cases where the substance of mitigating circumstances claims, or repeated claims of a similar nature, indicate an ongoing problem for which the student may need additional study support under another School policy, or which may warrant an assessment of their continued fitness to practice. In such circumstances the Panel will make referrals accordingly, and in consultation with the student.

8. Review and Update

8.1. This policy will be reviewed annually by the Registry Department; changes to it will be ratified by the School's Board of Governors.

Appendix: Table of Acceptable Mitigating Circumstances and Evidence Requirements

The below table shows examples of mitigating circumstances and the evidence requirements. All Mitigating Circumstances claims are decided on an individual basis with reference to other contextual information; the below table should serve as a guide only.

	Accepted Mitigating Circumstances	Supporting Evidence	Generally unacceptable
Medical	Acute short-term illness (e.g., Influenza, norovirus, food poisoning)	Written evidence from a Registered medical practitioner / GP fit note	 Minor ailments (e.g. coughs, mild colds, sore throat, hay fever, etc.) Sleep loss / oversleeping
	 Serious physical injury incurred near to assessment period. 	Written evidence from a registered medical practitioner	Minor accidents or injuries (e.g. small cuts, bruises, sprains)
	Onset of severe physical or mental health condition	Written evidence from a registered medical practitioner	Generic exam/assignment stress Long-term physical or mental health condition for which reasonable adjustments have already been made (except where acute episode or worsening of their condition which means that the reasonable adjustments in place are no longer sufficient)
	Complications with pregnancy or late stage of pregnancy (e.g. imminent childbirth – partners of pregnant women may be included)	 Written evidence from a registered medical practitioner Birth certificate 	Pregnancy in and of itself: Students should consult the School's Pregnant Students and Students With Very Young Children Policy
	Long standing hospital / surgical appointment	 Letter form hospital / medical centre confirming time and date of consultation / procedure. 	Routine medical appointment (e.g. non-emergency GP visit, dentist, optician, physiotherapy, etc.)

	Accepted Mitigating Circumstances	Supporting Evidence	Generally unacceptable
Bereavement	 Loss of a parent, (including stepparents and legal guardian) child, siblings, spouse or common law partner. Death of close relative or friend. 	- Death Certificate	 Death of a pet Death of a public figure / celebrity
	Attending funeral for any of the above persons	- Order of Service (if available)	
Academic	 Disruption to examination conditions / environment Administrative failing attributable to the School (e.g. incomplete assessment instructions, wrong exam paper given). 	 Corroboration from invigilator / administrator Invigilator report Original assessment materials provided 	 Misreading examination or timetable or rubric Misinterpreting assessment deadline Submitting the wrong assignment in error or in the wrong format Poor time management Group coursework tasks – failure by one member of the group to submit work or undertake the tasks assigned by the group; this will be taken into account in the marking guidelines
Personal commitments	Family / care emergencyWork emergency	 Written evidence from a registered medical practitioner / solicitor Written corroboration from employer 	 Normal work commitment Holidays Participation in sporting activities Routine work commitments Domestic events (e.g. wedding, Participation in public demonstrations / political rally
Exceptional financial or accommodation problems	Eviction / being made homeless	- Notice of eviction	 Problems with accommodation Building/decorating work in progress Moving to a new house

	Accepted Mitigating Circumstances	Supporting Evidence	Generally unacceptable
Victim of crime / traumatic personal event	 Victim of serious crime or domestic abuse (e.g. rape, assault, mugging, terrorist incident). Theft or destruction of work required for submission. Serious fire in residence Affected by natural disaster Family breakdown (such as divorce) 	Written corroboration of the reported crime from Police or other investigating authority	General domestic / family problems
Public Duty	 Attendance at court or tribunal as a witness, plaintiff or defendant Jury duty Assisting with police enquiries 	Official correspondence from Court of Tribunal Authority	Attendance at court as spectator
IT Problems	Failure of School IT equipment at critical time	- Written corroboration from IT Officer	Minor computer problems including failure to save documents properly
Transport	 Involved in road accident Severe travel disruption (e.g. stuck on broken down train – unable to alight) 	Insurance report Online transport updates	 Transport strikes Delayed trains or traffic jams Personal transport problems (car break-down)

Version History

Version 1.0 - 4.0

Original author(s): Head of Registry

Head of Assessments

Reviewed by: Executive Committee

September 2018 September 2019

September 2017 January 2017

Version 5.0

Revised by: Quality Audit Manager

Head of Registry

Revision summary: Document recomposed; principles added – clarified applicability of process. Appendix

revised. Document reformatted and version control added.

Approved by: The Board of Governors October 2020

Version 5.1

Revised by: Quality Manager

Head of Registry

Revision summary: Annual review; partnerships amended.

Document reformatted and version control

added.

Approved by: The Board of Governors

December 2021

Version 5.2

Revised by: Quality Manager

Head of Registry

Revision summary: Annual review; Policy amended to reflect

UWL's processes and procedures.

Document reformatted and version control

added.

Approved by: The Board of Governors September 2022

Version

Revised by: Quality Manager

Head of Registry

Revision summary: Annual review and update; Policy amended

to reflect UWL's processes and procedures

and version control added.

Approved by: The Board of Governors October 2023

Version

Revised by: Name; Title

Revision summary:

Approved by: Date